

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,492	12/16/2005	Mehmet Salih Atak	1000-1729	9330
64054 7550 09/23/2009 ORTIZ & LOPEZ, PLLC P.O. BOX 4484			EXAMINER	
			GRANT, ALVIN J	
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87196-4484			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/561,492 ATAK, MEHMET SALIH Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ALVIN J. GRANT -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24.26-38.43.44 and 60-68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-24,26-38,43,44 and 60-68 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/561,492 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-24, 26-38, 43, 44 and 60-67, drawn to an apparatus.

Group II, claim(s) 68, drawn to a method.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: It should be noted that "[w]hether or not any particular technical feature makes a 'contribution' over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a 'special technical feature,' should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step." See MPEP 1850 Unity of Invention Before the International Searching Authority [R-6]; II. Determination of 'Unity of Invention." Consequently, in accordance with MPEP 1850 as cited above, the technical features identified by Applicant do not read over the prior art (US 4,656,788 (to Rhodes et al)).
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 3723

The species are as follows:

- A. Figs. 1-4b.
- B. Fig. 5.
- C. Fig. 6.
- D. Fig. 7.
- E. Fig. 8.
- H. Fig. 9.
- I. Fig. 10-14.
- J. Fig. 15.
- K. Fig. 16.
- L. Fig. 17 and 18.
- M. Fig. 19.
- N. Fig. 20a-20c.
- O. Fig. 21-26.
- P. Fig. 27-28a.
- Q. Fig. 28b.
- R. Fig. 28c.
- S. Fig. 28d.
- T. Fig. 29-30.
- U. Fig. 31.
- V. Fig. 32.
- W. Fig. 33.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,492

Art Unit: 3723

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Figs. 1-4b correspond to claims 1, 20 and 24.

Fig. 5 corresponds to claim 14.

Fig. 6 corresponds to claims 34 and 35.

Fig. 7 corresponds to claim 12.

Fig. 8 corresponds to claims 13 and 14.

Fig. 9 corresponds to claims 2 and 4.

Fig. 10-14 corresponds to claims 15-19.

Fig. 15 corresponds to claim 6.

Fig. 16 corresponds to claims 5 and 7.

Fig. 17 and 18 corresponds to claims 21-23.

Fig. 19 corresponds to claims 26-29 and 31.

Art Unit: 3723

Fig. 20a-20c corresponds to claims 30, 33 and 38.

Fig. 21-26 corresponds to claims 36, 37, 43 and 44.

Fig. 27-28a corresponds to claim 32.

Fig. 28b corresponds to claims 67.

Fig. 28c corresponds to claims 61 and 62.

Fig. 28d corresponds to claims 63.

Fig. 29-30 corresponds to claims 8-11.

Fig. 31 corresponds to claim 65.

Fig. 32 corresponds to claim 66.

Fig. 33 corresponds to claim 60.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1.

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The secondary plate; ellipsoid bearing; actuators; and rocker bearings comprise special technical features that are not present in all of the species.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does Application/Control Number: 10/561,492

Art Unit: 3723

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,492

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALVIN J. GRANT whose telephone number is (571)272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alvin J Grant/ Examiner, Art Unit 3723